

# A New Type of Crisp Set via $\lambda$ -Shading

Anjana Bhattacharyya

*Department of Mathematics, Victoria Institution (College), 78 B, A.P.C. Road, Kolkata - 700009, India.*

## Abstract

In this paper a new class of crisp subsets has been introduced and studied which inherits  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compactness of a space  $X$ , endowed with a fuzzy topology. Also a new type of continuous function between two fuzzy topological spaces is introduced under which  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compactness for crisp subsets remains invariant.

*AMS Subject Classifications:* 54A40, 54C99, 54D20.

*Keywords:*  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact set,  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed set,  $\alpha^\lambda$ -continuity, fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set, fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open function.

## Introduction

From very beginning many mathematicians are engaged themselves for studying different types of compactness in fuzzy setting by using the definition of fuzzy cover initiated by Chang [4]. The concept of fuzzy cover was generalized by Gantner et al. [5] in 1978 by introducing a new concept of cover termed as  $\alpha$ -shading ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ). In this paper we use this idea of  $\alpha$ -shading but in a different terminology, viz.,  $\lambda$ -shading ( $0 < \lambda < 1$ ) for avoiding confusion of the term ' $\alpha$ ' used in  $\alpha$ -open set and  $\alpha$ -shading.

## Preliminaries

A fuzzy set  $A$  in an fts  $X$  means a function from  $X$  to the closed interval  $I = [0,1]$  of the real line, i.e.,  $A \in I^X$  [7]. By a crisp subset of an fts  $X$ , we mean an ordinary subset  $A$  of  $X$ , i.e.,  $A \subseteq X$ , where the underlying structure on  $X$  is a fuzzy topology  $\tau$ . For a fuzzy set  $A$  in an fts  $X$ ,  $clA$  and  $intA$  stand for fuzzy closure and fuzzy interior of  $A$  in  $X$  respectively [4]. The support of a fuzzy set  $A$  in  $X$  will be denoted by  $suppA$  [7] and is defined by  $suppA = \{x \in X : A(x) \neq 0\}$ . A

---

**Corresponding author:** Anjana Bhattacharyya, Department of Mathematics, Victoria Institution (College), 78 B, A.P.C. Road, Kolkata - 700009, India. E-mail: anjanabhattacharyya@hotmail.com.

fuzzy point in  $X$  with the singleton support  $\{x\} \subseteq X$  and the value  $t$  ( $0 < t \leq 1$ ) will be denoted by  $x_t$ . For two fuzzy sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $X$ , we write  $A \leq B$  if  $A(x) \leq B(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ , while we write  $AqB$  if  $A$  is quasi-coincident (q-coincident, for short) with  $B$  [6], i.e.,  $A(x) + B(x) > 1$ , for some  $x \in X$ . The negation of these two statements are written as  $A \not\leq B$  and  $A \not q B$  respectively. A fuzzy set  $B$  is called a quasi neighbourhood (q-nbd, for short) of a fuzzy set  $A$  if there is a fuzzy open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $AqU \leq B$  [6]. If, in addition,  $B$  is fuzzy open, then  $B$  is called fuzzy open q-nbd of  $A$ . A fuzzy neighbourhood (nbd, for short) [6]  $A$  of a fuzzy point  $x_t$  in an fts  $X$  is defined in the usual way, i.e., whenever for some fuzzy open set  $U$  in  $X$ ,  $x_t \leq U \leq A$ ;  $A$  is a fuzzy open nbd of  $x_t$  if  $A$  is fuzzy open, in addition.

## 1. Fuzzy $\alpha$ -Open and Fuzzy $\alpha$ -Closed Sets and $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -Almost Compact Space

Let us recall some definitions for ready references.

**Definition 1.1** [3]. A fuzzy set  $A$  in an fts  $X$  is said to be fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open if  $A \leq \text{intclint}A$ . The complement of a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set is called fuzzy  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Definition 1.2** [3]. The smallest fuzzy  $\alpha$ -closed set containing a fuzzy set  $A$  in  $X$  is called fuzzy  $\alpha$ -closure of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\alpha clA$ , i.e.,  $\alpha clA = \bigwedge \{U : A \leq U \text{ and } U \text{ is fuzzy } \alpha \text{-closed}\}$ . A fuzzy set  $A$  in  $X$  is fuzzy  $\alpha$ -closed if  $A = \alpha clA$ .

**Definition 1.3** [3]. For a fuzzy set  $A$  in an fts  $X$ , the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -interior of a fuzzy set  $A$  denoted by  $\alpha \text{int}A$  is defined by the union of all fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open sets contained in  $A$ , i.e.,  $\alpha \text{int}A = \bigvee \{V : V \leq A \text{ and } V \text{ is fuzzy } \alpha \text{-open in } X\}$ . A fuzzy set  $A$  in  $X$  is fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open if  $A = \alpha \text{int}A$ .

**Definition 1.4** [1]. A fuzzy set  $A$  in an fts  $X$  is called a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $q$ -nbd of a fuzzy point  $x_t$  in  $X$  if there exists a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $x_t q V \leq A$ .

**Definition 1.5** [5]. Let  $A$  be a crisp subset of an fts  $X$ . A collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of fuzzy sets in  $X$  is

called a  $\lambda$ -shading (where  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ) (formerly known as  $\alpha$ -shading (where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ )) of  $A$  if for each  $x \in A$ , there is some  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_x(x) > \lambda$ . Taking  $A = X$ , we arrive at the definition of  $\lambda$ -shading of an fts  $X$ , as proposed by Gantner et. al [5].

If the members of a  $\lambda$ -shading  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $A$  (or of  $X$ ) are fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open sets in  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is called a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $\lambda$ -shading of  $A$  (resp., of  $X$ ).

**Definition 1.6** [2]. Let  $X$  be an fts and  $A$ , a crisp subset of  $X$ .  $A$  is called  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact if each fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $\lambda$ -shading of  $A$  has a finite  $\alpha$ -proximate  $\lambda$ -subshading, i.e., there exists a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{U}_0$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{\alpha clU : U \in \mathcal{U}_0\}$  is again a  $\lambda$ -shading of  $A$ . If  $A = X$ , in addition, then  $X$  is called a  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact space.

## 2. $\alpha^\lambda$ -Closed Sets: Some Properties

Let us now introduce a class of crisp sets in an fts  $X$ , as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts and  $A \subseteq X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is said to be an  $\alpha^\lambda$ -limit point of  $A$  if for every fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  with  $U(x) > \lambda$ , there exists  $y \in A \setminus \{x\}$  such that  $(\alpha clU)(y) > \lambda$ . The set of all  $\alpha^\lambda$ -limit points of  $A$  will be denoted by  $[A]_\alpha^\lambda$ .

The  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closure of  $A$ , to be denoted by  $\alpha^\lambda - clA$ , is defined by  $\alpha^\lambda - clA = A \cup [A]_\alpha^\lambda$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A crisp subset  $A$  of an fts  $X$  is said to be  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed if it contains all its  $\alpha^\lambda$ -limit points. Any subset  $B$  of  $X$  is called  $\alpha^\lambda$ -open if  $X \setminus B$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed.

**Remark 2.3.** It is clear from Definition 2.1 that for any set  $A \subseteq X$ ,  $A \subseteq \alpha^\lambda - clA$  and  $\alpha^\lambda - clA = A$  if and only if  $[A]_\alpha^\lambda \subseteq A$ . Again it follows from Definition 2.1 that  $A$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed if and only if  $\alpha^\lambda - clA = A$ . It is also clear that  $A \subseteq B \subseteq X \Rightarrow [A]_\alpha^\lambda \subseteq [B]_\alpha^\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** An  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed subset  $A$  of a  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact space  $X$  is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact.

**Proof.** Let  $A(\subseteq X)$  be  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed in a  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact space  $X$ . Then for any  $x \notin A$ ,

there is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $U_x$  in  $X$  such that  $U_x(x) > \lambda$ , and  $(\alpha cl U_x)(y) \leq \lambda$ , for every  $y \in A$ .

Consider the collection  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_x : x \notin A\}$ . For proving  $A$  to be  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact, consider a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $\lambda$ -shading  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $A$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$  is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $\lambda$ -shading of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact, there exists a finite subcollection  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$  such that for every  $t \in X$ , there exists  $V_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$  such that  $(\alpha cl V_i)(t) > \lambda$ . For every member  $U_x$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $(\alpha cl U_x)(y) \leq \lambda$ , for every  $y \in A$ . So if this subcollection contains any member of  $\mathcal{U}$ , we omit it and hence we get the result.

To achieve the converse of Theorem 2.4, we define the following.

**Definition 2.5.** An fts  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -Urysohn if for any two distinct points  $x, y$  of  $X$ , there exist a fuzzy open set  $U$  and a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  in  $X$  with  $U(x) > \lambda$ ,  $V(y) > \lambda$  and  $\min((\alpha cl U)(z), (\alpha cl V)(z)) \leq \lambda$ , for each  $z \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** A  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact set in a  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -Urysohn space  $X$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact set and  $x \in X \setminus A$ . Then for each  $y \in A$ ,  $x \neq y$ . As  $X$  is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact, there exist a fuzzy open set  $U_y$  and a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $V_y$  in  $X$  such that  $U_y(x) > \lambda, V_y(y) > \lambda$  and  $\min((\alpha cl U_y)(z), (\alpha cl V_y)(z)) \leq \lambda$ , for all  $z \in X$  ... (1).

Then  $U = \{V_y : y \in A\}$  is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $\lambda$ -shading of  $A$  and so by  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compactness of  $A$ , there exist finitely many points  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  of  $A$  such that  $U_0 = \{\alpha cl V_{y_1}, \alpha cl V_{y_2}, \dots, \alpha cl V_{y_n}\}$  is again a  $\lambda$ -shading of  $A$ . Now  $U = U_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{y_n}$  being a fuzzy open set is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set in  $X$  such that  $U(x) > \lambda$ . In order to show that  $A$  to be  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed, it now suffices to show that  $(\alpha cl U)(y) \leq \lambda$ , for each  $y \in A$ . In fact, if for some  $z \in A$ , we assume  $(\alpha cl U)(z) > \lambda$ , then as  $z \in A$ , we have  $(\alpha cl V_{y_k})(z) > \lambda$ , for some  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ). Also

$(\alpha clU_{y_k})(z) > \lambda$ . Hence  $\min((\alpha clU_{y_k})(z), (\alpha clV_{y_k})(z)) > \lambda$ , contradicting (1).

**Corollary 2.7.** In a  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact,  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -Urysohn space  $X$ , a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact if and only if it is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed.

**Theorem 2.8.** In a  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact space  $X$ , every cover of  $X$  by  $\alpha^\lambda$ -open sets has a finite subcover.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i : i \in \Lambda\}$  be a cover of  $X$  by  $\alpha^\lambda$ -open sets. Then for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $x \in U_x$ . Since  $X \setminus U_x$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed, there exists a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $V_x$  in  $X$  such that  $V_x(x) > \lambda$  and  $(\alpha clV_x)(y) \leq \lambda$ , for each  $y \in X \setminus U_x$  ... (1).

Then  $\{V_x : x \in X\}$  forms a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $\lambda$ -shading of the  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact space  $X$ . Thus there exists a finite subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $X$  such that  $\{\alpha clV_{x_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -shading of  $X$  ... (2).

We claim that  $\{U_{x_1}, U_{x_2}, \dots, U_{x_n}\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{U}$ . If not, then there exists

$y \in X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus U_{x_i})$ . Then by (1),  $(\alpha clV_{x_i})(y) \leq \lambda$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and so

$(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \alpha clV_{x_i})(y) \leq \lambda$ , contradicting (2).

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fts. If  $X$  is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact, then every collection of  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed sets in  $X$  with finite intersection property has non-empty intersection.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i : i \in \Lambda\}$  be a collection of  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed sets in a  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact space  $X$  having finite intersection property. If possible, let  $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} F_i = \phi$ . Then  $X \setminus \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} F_i = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} (X \setminus F_i) = X \Rightarrow \mathcal{U} = \{X \setminus F_i : i \in \Lambda\}$  is an  $\alpha^\lambda$ -open cover of  $X$ . Then by Theorem 2.8, there is a finite subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda_0} (X \setminus F_i) = X \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda_0} F_i = \phi$ , a contradiction.

### 3. $\alpha^\lambda$ -Continuity: Some Applications

In this section, we now introduce a class of functions under which  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compactness remains invariant.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X, Y$  be fts's. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be  $\alpha^\lambda$ -continuous if for each point  $x \in X$  and each fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  in  $Y$  with  $V(f(x)) > \lambda$ , there exists a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  with  $U(x) > \lambda$  such that  $\alpha cl U \leq f^{-1}(\alpha cl V)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -continuous (where  $X, Y$  are, as usual, fts's), then the following are true :

- (a)  $f([A]_\alpha^\lambda) \subseteq [f(A)]_\alpha^\lambda$ , for every  $A \subseteq X$ .
- (b)  $[f^{-1}(A)]_\alpha^\lambda \subseteq f^{-1}([A]_\alpha^\lambda)$ , for every  $A \subseteq Y$ .
- (c) For each  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed set  $A$  in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed in  $X$ .
- (d) For each  $\alpha^\lambda$ -open set  $A$  in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -open in  $X$ .

**Proof** (a). Let  $x \in [A]_\alpha^\lambda$  and  $U$  be any fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set in  $Y$  with  $U(f(x)) > \lambda$ . Then there is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  in  $X$  with  $V(x) > \lambda$  and  $\alpha cl V \leq f^{-1}(\alpha cl U)$ . Now  $x \in [A]_\alpha^\lambda$  and  $V$  is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set in  $X$  with  $V(x) > \lambda \Rightarrow \alpha cl V(x_0) > \lambda$ , for some  $x_0 \in A \setminus \{x\} \Rightarrow \lambda < \alpha cl V(x_0) \leq (f^{-1}(\alpha cl U))(x_0) = (\alpha cl U)(f(x_0))$  where  $f(x_0) \in f(A) \setminus \{f(x)\} \Rightarrow f(x) \in [f(A)]_\alpha^\lambda$ . Thus (a) follows.

(b) By (a),  $f([f^{-1}(A)]_\alpha^\lambda) \subseteq [ff^{-1}(A)]_\alpha^\lambda \subseteq [A]_\alpha^\lambda \Rightarrow [f^{-1}(A)]_\alpha^\lambda \subseteq f^{-1}([A]_\alpha^\lambda)$ .

(c) We have  $[A]_\alpha^\lambda = A$ . By (b),  $[f^{-1}(A)]_\alpha^\lambda \subseteq f^{-1}([A]_\alpha^\lambda) = f^{-1}(A) \Rightarrow [f^{-1}(A)]_\alpha^\lambda = f^{-1}(A) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed set in  $X$ .

(d) Follows from (c).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $X, Y$  be fts's and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be fuzzy  $\alpha^\lambda$ -continuous function. If  $A(\subseteq X)$  is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact, then so is  $f(A)$  in  $Y$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i : i \in \Lambda\}$  be a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $\lambda$ -shading of  $f(A)$ , where  $A$  is  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compact set in  $X$ . For each  $x \in A$ ,  $f(x) \in f(A)$  and so there exists  $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $V_x(f(x)) > \lambda$ . As  $f$  is fuzzy  $\alpha^\lambda$ -continuous, there exists a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $U_x$  in  $X$  such that  $U_x(x) > \lambda$  and  $f(\alpha cl U_x) \leq \alpha cl V_x$ . Then  $\{U_x : x \in X\}$  is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open  $\lambda$ -shading of  $A$ . By  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compactness of  $A$ , there are finitely many points  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  in  $A$  such that  $\{\alpha cl U_{a_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is again a  $\lambda$ -shading of  $A$ .

We claim that  $\{\alpha cl V_{a_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -shading of  $f(A)$ . In fact,  $y \in f(A) \Rightarrow$  there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $y = f(x)$ . Now there is an  $U_{a_j}$  (for some  $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ ) such that  $(\alpha cl U_{a_j})(x) > \lambda$  and hence  $(\alpha cl V_{a_j})(y) \geq f(\alpha cl U_{a_j})(y) \geq \alpha cl U_{a_j}(x) > \lambda$ .

Let us now give a definition of a function under which  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closedness of a set remains invariant.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $X, Y$  be fts's. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open if  $f(A)$  is fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open in  $Y$  whenever  $A$  is fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ .

**Remark 3.5.** For a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , for every fuzzy  $\alpha$ -closed set  $A$  in  $X$ ,  $f(A)$  is fuzzy  $\alpha$ -closed in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1)$  is a bijective fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open function, then the image of an  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed in  $(Y, \tau_1)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $y \in Y \setminus f(A)$ . Then there exists a unique  $z \in X$  such that  $f(z) = y$ . As  $y \notin f(A)$ ,  $z \notin A$ . Now,  $A$  being  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed in  $X$ , there exists a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $V(z) > \lambda$  and  $\alpha cl V(p) \leq \lambda$ , for each  $p \in A$  ... (1).

As  $f$  is fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open,  $f(V)$  is a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open set in  $Y$ , and also  $(f(V))(y) = V(z) > \lambda$  as  $f$  is bijective. Let  $t \in f(A)$ . Then there is a unique  $t_0 \in A$  such that  $f(t_0) = t$ . As  $f$  is bijective and fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open, by Remark 3.5,  $\alpha cl f(V) \leq f(\alpha cl V)$ . Then  $(\alpha cl f(V))(t) \leq f(\alpha cl V)(t) = \alpha cl V(t_0) \leq \lambda$  as  $f$  is bijective, by (1). Thus  $y$  is not an  $\alpha^\lambda$ -limit point of  $f(A)$ . Hence the proof.

From Theorem 3.2 (c) and Theorem 3.6, it follows that

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a fuzzy  $\alpha^\lambda$ -continuous, bijective and fuzzy  $\alpha$ -open function.

Then  $A$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed in  $Y$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha^\lambda$ -closed in  $X$ .

## References

- [1]. Bhattacharyya, Anjana;  $\alpha^*$ -closure operator in fuzzy setting, Analele Universității Oradea, Fasc. Matematica, Tom XXI Issue No. 2 (2014), 67-72.
- [2]. Bhattacharyya, Anjana;  $\lambda$ - $\alpha$ -almost compactness for crisp subsets of a fuzzy topological space, International Journal of Engineering and Scientific Research, vol. 4, No. 4 (2016), 29-39.
- [3]. Bin Shahna, A.S.; On fuzzy strong semicontinuity and fuzzy precontinuity, Fussy Sets and Systems 44 (1991), 303-308.
- [4]. Chang, C.L.; Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [5]. Gantner, T.E., Steinlage, R.C. and Warren, R.H.; Compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 62 (1978), 547-562.
- [6]. Pu, Pao Ming and Liu, Ying Ming; Fuzzy topology I, Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith Convergence, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980), 571-599.
- [7]. Zadeh, L.A.; Fuzzy Sets, Inform. Control 8 (1965), 338-353.