"Science Stays True Here"
Journal of Mathematics and Statistical Science (ISSN 2411-2518), Vol.5, 42-46 | Science Signpost Publishing

# Higher Order Derivative on Meromorphic Functions in Terms of Subordination

Sh. Najafzadeh *
Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P.O. Box 19395-3697 Tehran, Iran

**Abstract**

This paper investigates sharp coefficient bounds, integral representation, extreme point, and operator properties of a certain class associated with functions which are meromorphic in the punctured unit disk.

**keywords and phrases:** Meromorphic function, Coefficient estimate, Extreme point, Integral representation, Integral operator.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C45, 30C50.

## 1 Introduction

Let $\sum$ denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic and univalent in the punctured unit disk $\Delta^* = \{z : \quad 0 < |z| < 1\}$. For $f(z) \in \sum$, Ghanim and Darus [3] were defined a linear operator $I^k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &I^0 f(z) = f(z) \\ &I^k f(z) = z(I^{k-1} f(z))' + \tfrac{2}{z} = \tfrac{1}{z} + \textstyle\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^k a_n z^n. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

For $A = B + (C - B)(1 - D)$, $-1 \leq B < C \leq 1$ and $0 \leq D < 1$, we let $\sum_{A,B}^{K}$ consists of function $f \in \sum$ satisfying the condition

$$-\frac{zF^{(4)}(z)}{F'''(z)} < 4\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $F(z) = I^k f(z)$ is defined by (1.2).
For other subclass of meromorphic univalent functions, we can see the recent works of many authors in [1] and [2].

## 2 Main results

In this section we find sharp coefficient bounds and Integral representation for the class $\sum_{A,B}^{k}$.

*Email addresses: najafzadeh1234@yahoo.ie (Sh. Najafzadeh).

**Theorem 2.1** *Let $f(z) \in \sum$, then $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^{k}$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]a_n < 24(C-B)(1-D). \quad (2.1)$$

*The result is sharp for the function $G(z)$ given by*

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{24(C-B)(1-D)}{n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n-5+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]} z^n \quad (n=3,4,...) \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof.** *Let $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^{k}$, then the inequality (1.3) or equivalently*

$$\left| \frac{zF^{(4)}(z) + 4F'''(z)}{zBF^{(4)}(z) + 4[B+(C-B)(1-D)]F'''(z)} \right| < 1,$$

*holds true, therefore by making use of (1.2) we have*

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)^2 a_n z^{n-3}}{-24(C-B)(1-D)z^{-4} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[n-3+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]a_n z^{n-3}} \right| < 1.$$

*Since $Rez \leq |z|$ for all $z$, thus*

$$Re\left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)^2 a_n z^{n-3}}{-24(C-B)(1-D)z^{-4} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[n-3+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]a_n z^{n-3}} \right\} < 1.$$

*By letting $z \to 1$ through real values, we get the required result.*
*Conversely, let (2.1) holds true. If we let $z \in \partial\triangle^*$, where $\partial\triangle^*$ denotes the boundary of $\triangle^*$, then we have*

$$\left| \frac{zF^{(4)}(z) + 4F'''(z)}{zBF^{(4)}(z) + 4[B+(C-B)(1-D)]F'''(z)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)^2 |a_n|}{24(C-B)(1-D) - \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[n-3+4(B+(C-B)(1-D))]|a_n|} < 1.$$

*Thus by the maximum modolus Theorem we conclude $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^{k}$.*
*Now the proof is complete.*

**Theorem 2.2** *If $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^{k}$, then*

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \int_0^z \int_0^z exp \int_0^z \frac{4(AW(z)-1)}{z(1-BW(z))} d\alpha d\beta d\gamma d\theta$$

*where $A = B + (C-D)(1-D)$ and $|W(z)| < 1$.*

**Proof.** *Since $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^{k}$, so (1.3) holds true or equivalently we have*

$$\left| \frac{zF^{(4)}(z) + 4F'''(z)}{zBF^{(4)}(z) + 4AF'''(z)} \right| < 1,$$

*where* $A = B + (C - D)(1 - D)$. *Hence*

$$\frac{zF^{(4)}(z) + 4F^{'''}(z)}{zBF^{(4)}(z) + 4AF^{'''}(z)} = W(z),$$

*where* $|W(z)| < 1$, $z \in \Delta^*$. *This yields*

$$\frac{F^4(z)}{F^{'''}(z)} = \frac{4(AW(z)) - 1}{z(1 - BW(z))}.$$

*after four times integration we obtain the required result.*

**Remark.** Theorem 2.1 shows that if $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{24(C - B)(1 - D)}{2 \times 3^{k+1}(1 + 4A)}, \quad n = 3, 4, ... \tag{2.3}$$

where $A = B + (C - B)(1 - D)$.

## 3 Extreme points and convex linear combination

Our next theorems involve extreme points and convex linear combination property.

**Theorem 3.1** *The function* $f(z)$ *of the form (1.1) belongs to* $\sum_{A,B}^k$ *if and only if it can be expressed by*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} d_n f_n(z) \quad d_n \geq 0,$$

*where* $f_2(z) = z^{-1}$,

$$f_n(z) = z^{-1} + \frac{24(C - B)(1 - D)}{n^{k+1}(n - 1)(n - 2)[2n - 5 + 4(B + (C - B)(1 - D))]} z^n$$

$$(n = 3, 4, ... \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} d_n = 1)$$

**Proof.** *Let* $f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} d_n f_n(z)$

$$= d_2 f_2(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} d_n \left[ z^{-1} + \frac{24(C - B)(1 - D)}{n^{k+1}(n - 1)(n - 2)[2n - 5 + 4(B + (C - B)(1 - D))]} z^n \right]$$

$$= z^{-1} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \frac{24(C - B)(1 - D)}{n^{k+1}(n - 1)(n - 2)[2n - 5 + 4(B + (C - B)(1 - D))]} d_n z^n.$$

*Now by Theorem 2.1 we conclude that* $f(z) \in \sum_{A,B}^k$.
*Conversely if* $f(z)$ *is given by (1.1) belongs to* $\sum_{A,B}^k$, *by letting* $d_2 = 1 - \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} d_n$ *where*

$$d_n = \frac{n^{k+1}(n - 1)(n - 2)[2n - 5 + 4(B + (C - B)(1 - D))]}{24(C - B)(1 - D)} a_n \quad n = 3, 4, ...$$

*we conclude the required result.*

**Theorem 3.2** *The class $\sum_{A,B}^{k}$ is closed under convex linear combination.*

**Proof.** *Suppose that the function $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ defined by*

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \qquad j = 1, 2,\ z \in \Delta^*$$

*are in the class $\sum_{A,B}^{k}$. Setting*

$$f(z) = \eta f_1(z) + (1 - \eta) f_2(z), \quad (0 \leq \eta < 1)$$

*we obtain*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} (\eta a_{n,1} + (1 - \eta) a_{n,2}) z^n.$$

*In the view of Theorem 2.1, we have*

$\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n - 5 + 4(B + (C - B)(1 - D))](\eta a_{n,1} + (1 - \eta) a_{n,2})$

$= \eta \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n - 5 + 4(B + (C - B)(1 - D))] a_{n,1}$

$+(1 - \eta) \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} n^{k+1}(n-1)(n-2)[2n - 5 + 4(B + (C - B)(1 - D))] a_{n,2}$

$< \eta[24(C - B)(1 - D)] + (1 - \eta)[24(C - B)(1 - D)]$

$= 24(C - B)(1 - D),$

*which completes the proof.*

# 4 Special operators

The main objective of this section is to define two operators on the functions $f \in \sum_{A,B}^{k}$. Furthermore, we verify properties of these operators.
For $f \in \sum_{A,B}^{k}$ we define

1. $\tau^{\gamma}(f(z)) = \gamma \int_0^1 u^{\gamma} f(uz) du, \quad \gamma > 1$
2. $L^*(a, c) f(z) = \tilde{\phi}(a, c; z) * f(z)$, where

$$\tilde{\phi}(a, c; z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{(a)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}} \right| a_n z^n, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, ... \quad , a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\},$$

$(x)_n$ is the pochhammer symbol and " $*$ " denotes the Hadamard product.
We note that $\tilde{\phi}(a, c; z) = \frac{1}{z} {}_2F_1(1, a, c; z)$ where

$${}_2F_1(b, a, c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(b)_n (a)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

is the well-known Gaussian hypergeometric function, see [4].

**Theorem 4.1** *If $f \in \sum_{A,B}^{k}$ then $\tau^{\gamma}(f(z))$ and $L^{*}(a,c)f(z)$ are also in the same class.*

**Proof.** *By a simple calculation we conclude that*

$$\tau^{\gamma}(f(z)) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1+n} a_n z^n$$

*and since $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1+n}$, by theorem 2.1 we conclude the required result.*
*Also by using Hadamdard product we obtain*

$$L^{*}(a,c)f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{(a)_{n+1}}{(c)_{n+1}} \right| a_n z^n,$$

*and we easily conclude the result.*

# References


[1] M. K. Aouf, On certain class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficient, Rend. Math. Appl., 7(11), No. 2, 209-219 (1999)

[2] N. E. Cho, S.H. Lee and S. Owa, A class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, Kobe J. Math., 4 (1), 43-50, (1987)

[3] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, Subordinations for analytic functions defined by the linear operator, American Journal of Scientific Research, 2 (2009), 52-59.

[4] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, Linear operators associted with a subclass of hypergeometric meromorphic uniformaly convex functions, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 17 (2009), 49-60.